12 13

14 15

16 17

18 19

20

2.1

22 23

24

25 26

27 28

RENE L. VALLADARES Federal Public Defender

have to and including March 1, 2012 by the hour of 4:00 p.m., within which to file any all responsive pleadings.

IT IS FURTHER STIPULATED AND AGREED, by and between the parties, that they shall have to and including March 9, 2012 by the hour of 4:00 p.m., within which to file any and all replies to dispositive motions.

This Stipulation is entered into for the following reasons:

- 1. On December 14, 2011 a Superseding Indictment has been filed. Counsel for the defense needs additional time to review said charges and to consult with his client to review records relating to the additional charges.
- 2. In addition, Counsel for Defendant Nicholas Lindsey, has just concluded a thirty-six day trial in the matter of U.S. v. Steven Grimm, et. al 2:08-cr-064-RLH,. This would hinder the ability of Mr. Lindsey's counsel to thoroughly review and prepare for trial by the date now scheduled.
 - 3. The Defendant is not in custody, and does not object to this continuance.
- The additional time requested herein is not sought for purposes of delay, but merely 4. to allow counsel for defendant sufficient time within which to be able to effectively and thoroughly research, prepare and submit for filing appropriate pretrial motions.
- 5. Additionally, denial of this request for continuance could result in a miscarriage of justice.
- 6. The additional time requested by this Stipulation is excludable in computing the time within which the trial herein must commence pursuant to the Speedy Trial Act, Title 18, United States Code, Section)3161(h)(3(A) and Title 18, United States Code, Section 3161(h)(7)(A), considering the factors under Title 18, United States Code, Sections 3161 (h)(7)(B)(i) and Sections 3161 (h)(7)(B)(iv).
 - This is the 3rd request for continuance filed herein.
 - DATED this 15th day of December, 2011.

DANIEL G. BOGDEN, United States Attorney

Case 2:11-cr-00217-LDG-CWH Document 32 Filed 12/15/11 Page 3 of 5 /s/ William Carrico /s/ Christina Brown By: By: WILLIAM CARRICO Assistant Federal Public Defender CHRISTINA BROWN Assistant United States Attorney

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 1 2 DISTRICT OF NEVADA 3 4 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, 5 Plaintiff. FINDINGS OF FACT, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW. AND ORDER 6 VS. 7 NICHOLAS LINDSEY, 8 Defendant. 9 FINDINGS OF FACT 10 Based on the pending Stipulation of counsel, and good cause appearing therefore, the Court 11 finds that: 12 1. On December 14, 2011 a superseding indictment has been filed. Counsel for 13 the defense needs additional time to review said charges and to consult with his client to review 14 records relating to the additional charges. 15 2. In addition, Counsel for Defendant Nicholas Lindsey, has just concluded a thirty-six 16 day trial in the matter of U.S. v. Steven Grimm, et. al 2:08-cr-064-RLH,. This would hinder the 17 ability of Mr. Lindsey's counsel to thoroughly review and prepare for trial by the date now 18 scheduled. 19 3. The Defendant is not in custody, and does not object to this continuance. 20 4. The additional time requested herein is not sought for purposes of delay, but merely 2.1 to allow counsel for defendant sufficient time within which to be able to effectively and thoroughly research, prepare and submit for filing appropriate pretrial motions. 23 5. Additionally, denial of this request for continuance could result in a miscarriage of 24 justice. 25 The additional time requested by this Stipulation is excludable in computing the 6. 26 time within which the trial herein must commence pursuant to the Speedy Trial Act, Title 18, United 27 States Code, Section)3161(h)(3(A) and Title 18, United States Code, Section 3161(h)(7)(A), 28 considering the factors under Title 18, United States Code, Sections 3161 (h)(7)(B)(i) and Sections

3161 (h)(7)(B)(iv).

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

DATED _____ day of _____, 2011.

The ends of justice served by granting said continuance outweigh the best interest of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial, since the failure to grant said continuance would be likely to result in a miscarriage of justice, would deny the parties herein sufficient time and the opportunity within which to be able to effectively and thoroughly prepare for trial, taking into account the exercise of due diligence.

The continuance sought herein is excludable under the Speedy Trial Act, title 18, United States Code, Section 3161(h)(1)(A), 3161(h)(7) and Title 18 United States Code, §§ 3161 (h)(7)(A), when the considering the facts under Title 18, United States Code, §§ 316(h)(7)(B) and 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv).

ORDER

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the parties herein shall have to and including February 15, 2012 by the hour of 4:00 p.m., within which to file any and all pretrial motions and notice of defense.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the parties shall have to and including March 1, 2012, by the hour of 4:00 p.m., within which to file any all responsive pleadings.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the parties shall have to and including March 9, 2012, by the hour of 4:00 p.m., within which to file any and all replies to dispositive motions.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that trial briefs, proposed voir dire questions, proposed jury instructions, and a list of the Government's prospective witnesses must be submitted to the Court by the _____ day of ______, 2012, by the hour of 4:00 p.m.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the calendar call currently scheduled for January 3, 2012 at the hour of 9:00 a.m., be vacated and continued to ______ a the hour of _____ a.m.; and the trial currently scheduled for January 9, 2012 at the hour of 9:00 a.m. be vacated and continued to _____ at the hour of _____ a.m.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE